

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,348	10/20/2003	Stephan Grunow	T1-36564	4206	
	7590 04/20/200 UMENTS INCORPO		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 65547	74, M/S 3999	FARAHANI, DANA			
DALLAS, TX 7	/3263	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•		•	2891		
	·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATÉ	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOì	NTHS	04/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			1H
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,348	GRUNOW ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dana Farahani	2891	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sign. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 January 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			S
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-20 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)		·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/689,348 Page 2

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10 and 17-18, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 330.
 - II. Claims 19-20, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 259.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of the device claims can be made with sputtering the barrier layer at a constant rate, during the sputtering time period.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification.
- 4. Claims 11-16 link inventions I and II. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim. Upon the allowance of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims is presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application

may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

5. Newly submitted claims 19-20 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the reasons set forth above.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 19-20 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added limitation, that of a first contiguous barrier layer to a thickness X1 over said upper surface of said low K dielectric layer within said trench was not in the disclosure, as originally filed.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,348

Art Unit: 2891

Product-by-Process

The language, term, or phrase "... controlled dielectric pore penetration includes one of a starving of reactants used to deposit the barrier layer and increasing a re-sputter component of barrier layer material", in claims 17 and 18 is directed towards the process of making the trench barrier layer. It is well settled that "product by process" limitations in claims drawn to structure are directed to the product, *per se*, no matter how actually made. *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also, *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685; *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523; *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324; *In re Avery*, 186 USPQ 161; *In re Wethheim*, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); *In re Marosi et al.*, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product *per se* which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or otherwise. The above case law further makes clear that applicant has the burden of showing that the method language necessarily produces a structural difference.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicants' arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,348

Art Unit: 2891

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571)272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,348

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DF

B. WILLIAM BAUMEISTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800